



# The right to information in the ECHR

• Art. 6 par. 3 sub a ECHR:

'to be informed promptly, in a language which he understands and in detail, of the nature and cause of the accusation against him'

- No right to be informed on 'the rights' in art. 6 ECHR
- Case law:
  - ECtHR 11 december 2008, Panovits v. Cyprus, no. 4268/04, Par. 72-74
  - ECtHR 27 March 2007, Talat Tunç v. Turkey, no. 32432/96 Par 61-62
  - ECtHR 10 August 2006, Padalov v. Bulgaria, no. 54784/00 Par. 53-54



Maastricht University

### The project:

- seeks to examine how the information to suspects on rights in criminal proceedings is in fact ensured in the EU Member States;
- will focus on the written information that is given to suspects;
- aims to present best practices and to develop a model Letter of Rights applicable throughout the EU;
- aims to raise awareness of the importance of EU-wide minimum standards in criminal proceedings through practical measures such as a Letter of Rights.



Maastricht University

# **Research questions**

- 1. Whether and to what extent suspects are informed in writing about their rights in criminal proceedings in the 27 Member States of the EU;
- 2. How this practice relates to the requirements of a fair trial and more specifically the right to information under Art. 6 para. 3 of the European Convention on Human Rights;
- 3. Whether it is possible to develop a model Letter of Rights to be applicable throughout the EU.



Maastricht University

# **Central Question**

Is there any obligation to give a suspect or defendant a Letter of Rights informing them of their rights?



Maastricht University

# If so

- 1. Which rights?
- 2. Who has to provide the Letter of Rights?
- 3. At what stage does the Letter of Rights have to be provided?
- 4. Is there an obligation to provide the Letter of Rights in a language that the suspect/defendant understands?
- 5. Is there an obligation to verify whether the suspect/defendant understood the rights included in the Letter of Rights?
- 6. Is there any existing evidence as to whether and how this obligation is complied with?
- 7. Are there any sanctions or remedies if the obligation is not complied with?



Maastricht University

### Activities (to start in April/May 2009)

- Desk review of existing literature, empirical research and case law of the ECtHR
- Developing on-line questionnaire for 27 Member States
- Analysis responses; gathering existing letters of rights (+ translation in English); developing model
- Workshop results ultimately June 2010 in Berlin
- Publication and dissemination of final report



Maastricht University

### Added value

- 1. New knowledge on the extent to which suspects are informed in writing of their rights in criminal proceedings.
- 2. Model Letter of Rights that can be implemented EU-wide.
- 3. Stimulate the discussion on the introduction of EU-wide minimum standards in criminal proceedings through practical measures
- 4. Raise awareness on the European level of the importance of the protection of procedural safeguards.





